Altinsoy Ahmet

From: DM & BC Support Team
Subject: FW: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : HGY/2014/3351 Site Address: 5 Sandford Avenue London N22 5EJ London Comments by: Justin Whillock
From:

7
Sandford Avenue

Wood Green
London
N22 5EJ

Submission: Objection
Comments: 7 Sandford Avenue
Wood Green
N225EJ
27/12/2014

Dear Emma Williamson,

Re: HGY/2014/3351

We would like to object to the planned proposal of the erection of a single story extension on the back of 5 Sandford Avenue.
Our main objection is that it will have an adverse effect and great impact on the amount of light and sunlight that we receive. Because our back gardens are north facing and don¿t receive much sunlight we rely heavily on light coming through from the east and west of our property. We still receive a considerable amount of sunlight when the sun is going down, through the gap between number 1 and 3 Sandford Avenue. This would be wiped out by an extension of this size.
Our second objection is that we have also spent a lot of money internally converting our house to maximize the space, without building an extension, as we felt would it would spoil the original features of such an old house. Last year we had a patio built so we could sit out and enjoy the sun. This will be completely enveloped by the proposed extension. There is already one extension on the other side, that limits the light on the back of our house and patio preventing our grass growing, as it is always in the shade. We will be in the middle of two concrete extensions on either side that will overshadow us. It will feel like we are hemmed in because of the proposed proximity, height and length of the extension as well as losing a significant level of sunlight and daylight.
If a proposed development does go ahead we would like to know our rights regarding party wall agreements. We would also like a surveyor to take photos and assess our property, before the works start, so that we are covered for any damage to our property during the building works or subsequent subsidence in the future. We believe that we should not be liable for these costs.
Furthermore we would like to be reassured that measures will be taken to restrict air born pollutants and dust. We would like to see the strategic plans that the development proposes to ensure that any building works do not increase the risk of breathing problems for our family. We have a son and we are adopting a toddler early in January 2015. The dust and the noise from a building development could cause an adverse effect on our adopted child settling in and on both our children's health. The child we are adopting is already being seen by a Doctor regarding breathing issues.

Yours Sincerely,

Ms Dawn Robinson
Mr Justin Whillock