Argles James

From: Planning Support
Subject: FW: HGY/2015/1241 - Planning permission for an extension to 84a Finsbury road, London N22 8PF

Subject: HGY/2015/1241 - Planning permission for an extension to 84a Finsbury road, London N22 8PF

Dear Sir / Madam,

RE: HGY/2015/1241 - Planning permission for an extension to 84a Finsbury road, London N22 8PF

I am informing you of a falsification on the application sent into you from 84a Finsbury road - "Application for Planning Permission. Town and Country Planning Act 1990" form '25. Certificates (Certificate B) section'. It states that Kamal Kaddourah "I certify/ The applicant certifies that I have/the applicant has given the requisite notice to everyone else (as listed below) who, on the day 21 days before the date of this application..."
In fact I was given 12 days, (on the 17 of April) via email from Jane Kaddourah, and on asking for more information regarding this information has been slow coming, to the point that I still haven't received the necessary information as requested.

I object to the conversion of the property, I have a share of the freehold of the property, and am a lease holder of the apartment directly above the proposed extension.

The extension will obscure my view from both windows, of the garden and of the neighbouring gardens, and also have a detrimental affect on my property, and devalue the market value of my property.

Also it poses a security risk as burglars can use it to gain access my apartment bedroom, and kitchen through the windows - using the proposed extension as a platform, so the risk of criminal activity is increased and a risk specifically to my apartment, as well as the next door apartment.
Also it will encroach on the freedom to leave windows open, to get fresh air in the flat, which is a usual right and to circulate air in the apartment, which is needed - as it's a period property requiring regular air circulation in order to stop damp accumulating and causing internal and structural damage.

I also question the front of the house renovations, of a glass door - the building is part of the Bowes Park conservational protected area, set in place by the council to protect the area from changing it's appearance - which everyone in the area has bought into, and specifically purchased properties in this area - with this view in mind.
Also on an aesthetic view, this is a period property, and the proposal is not in keeping with the with the original style of the property.

I also haven't been consulted to how it would affect the original building structure, and also I have not been notified if the roof of the extension would be higher than my kitchen floor level.

I had not been consulted sufficiently before this application was made, and after repeatedly asking for sufficient information in order to make an informed judgment on it , I was erroneously told it was a three meter extension, but in fact from my bedroom window it will be a 7 metre extension.

Further more, I had wanted to properly investigate the other possible detriment to the shared cost of buildings insurance on the property, and the implications to the freehold that is shared, and the leases - in regard to the original building plans as set out, as I do not want to be burdened with the financial costs and legalities of this in relation to the proposed project. Again this been overlooked by the tenant in making this application.

Regards

Andrew Carolan