Argles James

From: Planning Support
Subject: FW: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : HGY/2015/3109
Site Address: 36 Bidwell Gardens London N11 2AU
Comments by: Stuart & Yvonne Goldberg
From:
30
Bidwell Gardens

London
London
N11 2AU

Submission: Support
Comments: We want to write to put on record our support for this application. We are only 3 doors down and could see the proposed extension from most of our back garden, as well as our upper floors, but we have absolutely no objection to it.

We are particularly surprised to see objections on here to the additional 1 metre length beyond the permitted development. We would comment that:

a. Overshadowing - given there's a fence between the two properties, the proposed extension at 36 would appear to have no impact whatsoever on the entrance of light to the existing extension at 38.
b. Overbearing - it's absurd to say that the proposed extension would have an "oppresive impact" on surrounding houses. It would have no impact whatsoever on surrounding houses, given the number of properties in the street which already have full-length permitted development extensions. And as it's a ground floor development at the rear, its impact would be minimal on surrounding houses.

c. Out of character - again, this is absurd. A look down the rear gardens of our section of Bidwell Gardens shows a variety of different styles, sizes and design in the extensions that have already been built in this small strip of road. We are not in a conservation area and there is no such thing, in terms of rear gardens/extensions, as a particular 'character' to the rear of houses in our street. In addition, it's odd that this comment has been made by the owners of the only loft conversion which is wholly out of character for the street - as it's the only one with wood cladding (rather than traditional tiling) and a terraced balcony on top of the first floor back bedroom (where everyone else has a Juliet balcony).