1A and 1B mentioned in the previous officers' reports for applications HGY/2014/2232 and HGY/2015/0882 are not part of the applicants property, being on neighbouring plots. Number 1 has never been converted into flats and the following applications mentioned are not connected to the property:

HGY/2005/1120

HGY/2007/0973

HGY/2010/0056

HGY/2010/0481

HGY/2010/0896

HGY/2010/2115

HGY/2012/1646

HGY/2014/1539

After gaining permission for a loft conversion and alterations under ref. HGY/2015/0882 our client now wishes to re-explore the design previously refused under reference HGY/2014/2232.

Cholmeley Park is a road dominated by large often imposing houses. There is an eclectic mixture of house types so that one could not say that there is a particular architectural style or type that typifies the road and surrounding areas.

The scheme was refused previously, according to the officers report, for the following reason:

1. The proposed roof extension, by reason of its size and scale, would be out of keeping with the design and character of the existing dwelling, and would have adverse effect on the appearance of the property and the visual amenity of the area as a whole, and fail to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area contrary to Policies 7.4, 7.6 and 7.8 of the London Plan 2011, Policy SP11 of the Haringey Local Plan 2013 and Saved Policies CSV1 and UD3 of the Haringey Unitary Development Plan 2006.

Although I agree that the scheme would be out of keeping with the design and character of the existing building, this is by design. The fact is that no.1 looks incongruous in its setting as it currently is. The flat roofed two storey detracts from the existing building, which as a whole looks out of place in the street scene among much larger imposing properties. We consider that the current scheme will repair past damage and significantly improve the appearance of the building and the wider area.

As for having an adverse effect on the visual amenity of the area as a whole and failing to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation area I can only disagree. For one the property does not fall into the conservation area. Despite this we should, rightly, take the conservation area into account which we have. By significantly improving the roof design and the appearance of the property we are repairing past damage and giving no.1 its rightful place in the street scene.

An amended scheme approved under HGY/2015/0882 received permission after interaction with local planning officers led to the design being altered to match more closely its neighbours numbers 3 and 5. However there is not a typified house style in the road and trying to impose a unifying style, rather than improving the situation, takes away from the feel of unique and different house styles that when viewed as a whole creates a pleasing mixed style that adds character to the area.

I would therefore implore you to consider my points and to rethink your decision.