

James Argles

From: Planning Support
Subject: FW: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : HGY/2022/2214
Site Address: 144 Cranley Gardens London N10 3AH
Comments by: Clara Hermann
From:
146
Cranley Gardens

LONDON
N10 3AH

Submission: Objection
Comments: Dear Toby Williams,

Objection based on loss of privacy.

We would like to object to the side window of the proposed kitchen extension based on loss of privacy due to the proximity and design of the extension (depicted in 3 Side Elevation (Right) plans).

According to the submitted plans, the full height window facing in an easterly direction straight towards 146 Cranley Gardens is less than six (6) meters from the boundary fence of 146. The external span of the window is three and a half metres (3.5m) high from the existing ground level of 144 Cranley Gardens. The proposed window rises 2.5 meters from the internal floor height which is 1m above existing ground level (see 3 Side Elevation (Right)).

These proposed dimensions result in a window significantly taller than the six foot (1.8m) garden fence and in close proximity to our garden. In addition, these measurements do not consider 144's elevated position relative to 146. This, along with the proposed size of the window, will allow 144 full view into the garden of 146.

We are concerned that the loss of privacy due to 144's proposed development directly overlooking into the garden of 146 will be detrimental to the amenity of the garden. We request that the design of the eastly side of the proposed extension, specifically the window, is changed to provide the appropriate amount of privacy given its proximity to the garden of 146 and its elevated ground position.

While we appreciate the following statement is not a planning issue, we would like it noted as public record that the drawings appended in the proposed plans to the council are incorrectly drawn in relation to the where the fence sits between 144 and 146's properties. Although the fence is not shown on the plans the easterly edge of the proposed patio and steps would extend past the fence into 146's garden (Proposed Plans: 1 Ground Floor & 2 Rear Elevation). At no time now or in the future should these be used to define or justify property boundaries. We understand that planning is subject to legal right and insist that this is a condition of any planning approval.

Kind Regards,

Nick and Clara Hermann