

24 Holmesdale Rd,
London N6 5TQ
25 July 2022

Planning Support
Haringey Council
River Park House
225 High Rd
London N22 8HQ

cc. Alex Fraser, Haringey
Susan Rose, HCAAC
Michael Hammerson, Highgate Society
Bob Hare
Daniel Monk, Haringey

Dear Sirs,

Re: Town & Country Planning (Trees) Regulation 1999

I am writing on behalf of the Highgate Conservation Area Advisory Committee with comments on the proposed tree works.

1. 247 Archway Rd16: (CON/2022/0263): Fell two limes, one elder and 4 Leylandii, situated in adjacent garden on rear boundary. It is not clear that the applicant owns these trees, and no reason is given for the felling, therefore this application should be refused.
2. 12 Bishop's Rd: (CON/2022/0261): Remove all epicormic growth up trunk, leaving furnishing growth at top of two lime trees at front. These are nice trees and two of the very few remaining pollarded limes in this road. There would be no objection to these works so long as the trees were left in good shape.
3. Bloomfield Ct, Bloomfield Rd: (CON/2022/0286): Fell one fig and two prunus, and reduce overhanging branch of another prunus. All these trees are at the rear/side of the property and mostly visible from Bishops Rd. There would be no objection to the felling of the fig, as it is a small specimen, growing right against the property wall. Nor would there be any objection to the removal of the damaged branch from one of the prunus'. However, the other two prunus' are nice fruiting trees, which are indeed close to the boundary wall, but not doing it any harm. These two trees could perhaps be reduced to allow a bit more light and space around them.
4. 15 Broadlands Rd: (CON/2022/0281): Works to a large number of trees in this large rear garden. No objection to the reduction works or to the felling of the dead hawthorn or the dead apple tree. However, it would be helpful if a Tree Officer could visit to advise whether the felling of the deodar cypress and the twin-stemmed ash is acceptable. If these trees are to be felled, they should be replaced with two other suitable trees somewhere in the garden.
5. 10 Cromwell Ave: (CON/2022/0262): Reduce one tall leylandi and fell one dead lilac, both at rear. These trees are visible from Causton Rd, but there would be no objection to the proposed works.
6. 23 Denewood Rd: (CON/2022/0267): Works to a large number of trees at front and rear. See also TPO appl HGY/2022/0267 re felling a mature beech at the front: we have already objected to this and asked for a Tree Officer to visit. It is hoped that the officer can also comment on the other proposed works. In particular, it is noted that there are 5 trees growing at the front where the T2 Ash and T3 horse chestnut are marked. It is not clear whether the applicant intends to fell them all and replace them with new trees. Whereas it is good to replace felled trees, it is better to maintain trees that already exist, so ideally a couple of these trees could be retained and given space to flourish.

Yours sincerely,
Jan Brooker

