

24 Holmesdale Rd,
London N6 5TQ
21 October 2022

Planning Support
Haringey Council
River Park House
225 High Rd
London N22 8HQ

cc. Alex Fraser, Haringey
Susan Rose, HCAAC
Michael Hammerson, Highgate Society
Bob Hare
Daniel Monk, Haringey

Dear Sirs,

Re: Town & Country Planning (Trees) Regulation 1999

I am writing on behalf of the Highgate Conservation Area Advisory Committee with comments on the proposed tree works.

1. Whittington Ct, Aylmer Rd: (CON/2022/0415): Reduction works to 3 hazels and felling of one hazel. Although mostly at the front, these trees are not visible from the public realm, due to the presence of a tall and thick conifer hedge screening the building from the main road. No objection to the works, but there is plenty of room in the grounds to plant one replacement tree, and maybe several more.
2. 49 Cholmeley Cresc: (CON/2022/0397): Remove two decayed stems of a laurel at the rear. No objection.
3. 11 Parklands, Cholmeley Pk: (CON/2022/0433): Reduction works to two sycamores at rear. No objection.
4. 26 Denewood Rd: (CON/2022/0406): Remove a large number of trees and reduce others surrounding this large property on the corner of Sheldon Ave and Denewood Rd. Although some of these works are acceptable, overall the application is excessive. Specifically: only the Lawsons cypress closest to the front door should be felled, due to die back and close proximity to the house; the strawberry tree is a good specimen and should remain; the elders with decay could be felled and new trees planted elsewhere; the Italian cypresses are not visible from the street, so no comment. The 3 mature willows, visible from Sheldon Ave are wonderful specimens and, as I have said before, merit TPOs. Only minimal works should be allowed. There would be no objection to reduction works to the purple plum.
5. 1 Kenwood Rd: (CON/2022/0421): Overall width reduction by up to 3m of one ash tree at rear. The tree is a nice specimen and it is not clear what a 'width reduction' means. Some minimal reduction and crown raising would be acceptable.
6. 22 North Grove: CON/2022/0391): Reduction works to Japanese maple and bay tree at front. No objection.
7. 115 North Hill: (CON/2022/0407): Fell one eucalyptus at rear to 'abate nuisance and damage to neighbouring property'. It is not clear what nuisance and damage the tree is causing. It would be preferable if it were reduced.

Yours sincerely,

Jan Brooker

