

PROTECTED TREE REPORT (TREE WORKS)

Haringey Council, L4, Alexandra House, Station Road, N22 7TY

Application number	CON/2022/0444
Application type	Conservation Area Notification
Site Address:	Flat A 1 The Avenue London N10 2QE
Proposal	T2 Beech of MWA Arboricultural Report Works: Remove (fell) to near ground level and treat stump to inhibit regrowth. T4 Pear of MWA Arboricultural Report Works: Re-prune to previous points at approx. 5.0m and thereafter re-prune on a triennial cycle to maintain at reduced dimensions. Reason: Clay shrinkage subsidence damage at neighbouring property 135 Dukes Avenue, N10 2QD.

RECOMMENDATION:	Objection	
Application date	11/10/2022	
Tree Inspector	DM	Date: 21 st November 2022

Site

The trees T2 Beech *Fagus* spp. & T2 Pear *Pyrus* spp., as marked on the sketch plan, are situated in the rear garden of the property. They are publicly visible from the junction of Dukes Avenue and The Avenue.

A site visit was not deemed necessary to determine the appropriateness of the proposed works. I am familiar with the site after attending three times this year.

The location is also within the Muswell Hill Conservation Area. This protects every tree with a diameter at breast height (taken at 1.5m) >75mm.

Proposal

Tree works proposed:

T2 Beech of MWA Arboricultural Report

Works: Remove (fell) to near ground level and treat stump to inhibit regrowth.

T4 Pear of MWA Arboricultural Report

Works: Re-prune to previous points at approx. 5.0m and thereafter re-prune on a triennial cycle to maintain at reduced dimensions.

Reason: Clay shrinkage subsidence damage at neighbouring property 135 Dukes Avenue, N10 2QD.

Issues

I object to the proposal.

Various documents have been submitted with the case however, there is no level monitoring or crack monitoring (18 months results is generally required). A good quantity of monitoring results would show seasonal vegetative movement.

There are no photographs or the extent of the cracks. Cracks are only BRE category 1 (slight). There is no MPI, the PI is low, and plasticity class is low. Shear vane is also low and there are no soil suction tests within the soil analysis.

For some reason a BS5837 report has been included which is irrelevant.

This is a third-party tree, and the owner at 1 The Avenue has expressed the retention of the tree/s. They have had their own independent report carried out and have also sort arboricultural advice.

The independent advice includes what has been highlighted above.

The trees within the garden are regularly maintained and the Beech tree has had a recent crown reduction.

Since the resident at 1 The Avenue has taken measures, and wants to retain the tree, there is no immediate threat, and a Tree Preservation Order is not required.

I would advise, as mitigating works, that the Beech and Pear are kept as regular reductions.

If the claimants are still going to pursue, they will need to forward the above.

Recommendation

Objection