



FAO: Planning Department,
Haringey London Borough Council

Ref: HGY/2023/0057
Date: 10/02/2023

HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND CONSERVATION ADVICE

Dear Sir / Madam,

RE: 746-748 Lordship Lane, Wood Green, London, N22 5JP

Built Heritage Advice pertaining to an application for: Conversion of offices on the first and second floor into four residential units with extension to rear.

The building affected by this application is an unlisted building, adjacent to the site is the Grade II listed Wood Green Underground Station (list entry number: 1401120). The building is part of an early twentieth century terrace, labelled on historic maps as a bank, it should be considered a non-designated heritage asset due to its historic and architectural interest.

The principle of conversion is considered acceptable, the proposed rear extension is approximately located upon existing single storey flat roof extensions and the proposed two-storey rear extension would not be overly dominant of the heritage assets. Therefore, I consider the proposed extension to not result in harm to the significance of the heritage assets. It is however noted that the existing timber sash windows are proposed to be replaced for UPVC double-glazed sash windows. This is of concern as the existing timber sash windows positively contribute to the significance of the existing building and the setting of Wood Green Underground Station. The existing traditionally detailed windows make a significant contribution to the architectural interest of the non-designated heritage asset and the composition with the adjacent listed building.

The proposed loss of existing timber sash windows for UPVC would result in harm to the setting and significance of Wood Green Underground Station and the significance of the non-designated heritage asset. Resulting in a low level of less than substantial harm, Paragraphs 202, 203 and 206 of the NPPF being relevant. Details of the proposed external materials for the extension could be secured by condition and should be high-quality and to match existing.

I suggest the retention of the existing windows is explored or should the principle of replacement be found acceptable, only slim-profile timber double-glazing would be considered acceptable (to match existing).

Yours sincerely,



Thomas Muston BA (Hons) MSc
Historic Environment Team
Place Services

Note: This letter is advisory and should only be considered as the opinion formed by specialist staff in relation to this particular matter