



Officer Report

Application Number:	HGY/2023/1481
Application Type:	Householder planning permission
Address:	65 Bruce Castle Road, Tottenham, London, N17 8NL
Proposal:	A Velux window on rear part of the roof.
Case Officer:	Zara Seelig
Valid Date:	05/06/2023
	3
Applicant:	Mr Y Deutsch
Agent:	Mr A Friedrich

RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Conditions

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION

GRANT PERMISSION (with conditions)

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND LOCATION DETAILS

Proposed Development

A Velux window on rear part of the roof.

Site and Surroundings

The application site is a two storey mid terrace dwelling situated on the Western side of Bruce Castle Road. The site is not located within a conservation area, it is not a listed building and there are no TPO's on the site.

Relevant Planning and Enforcement History

The most recent planning history in relation to the site is as follows.

None

CONSULTATION RESPONSE

The responses below were received following consultation on the application:

Internal: None

External: None

LOCAL REPRESENTATIONS

The application has been publicised by way of 3 neighbour consultation letters. The number of representations received from neighbours, local groups, etc in response to notification and publicity of the application were as follows:

No of individual responses:

Supporting: None

Objecting: None

The following local groups/societies made representations:

- None

The following issues were raised in representations that are material to the determination of the application and are addressed in the next section of this report:

- None

The following issues raised are not material planning considerations:

- None

MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

The main planning considerations raised by the proposed development are:

1. Design and appearance;
2. Impact on neighbouring amenity.

Design and appearance

DPD Policy DM12 'Housing Design and Quality' states that extensions or alterations to residential buildings, including roof extensions will be required to be of a high, site specific, and sensitive design quality, and respect and/ or complement the form, setting, period, architectural characteristics, detailing of the original building, including external features such as chimneys, and porches. High quality matching or complementary materials should be used appropriately and sensitively in relation to the context.

DPD Policy DM1 'Delivering High Quality Design' requires development proposals to relate positively to their locality, while Local Plan (2017) Policy SP11 requires the highest standard of design that respects local context and character and historic significance, which is equally supported by London Plan (2021) Policy D6.

The proposal would be considered acceptable in terms of design, the proposed rooflight is to the rear and so not visible from the street scene. There are other similar rear rooflights and even rear dormers within the vicinity.

Impact on neighbouring amenity.

London Plan Policy D6 outlines that design must not be detrimental to the amenity of surrounding housing, in specific stating that proposals should provide sufficient daylight and sunlight to surrounding housing that is appropriate for its context, while also minimising overshadowing.

DPD Policy DM1 'Delivering High Quality Design' states that development proposals must ensure a high standard of privacy and amenity for a development's users and neighbours. Specifically, proposals are required to provide appropriate sunlight, daylight and aspects to adjacent buildings and land, and to provide an appropriate amount of privacy to neighbouring properties to avoid overlooking and loss of privacy and detriment to amenity of neighbouring resident.

The proposed rear rooflight would cause no more overlooking than the other rooflights and dormers within the row. The proposal would therefore not adversely impact the amenities of these neighbouring properties by reason of overbearingness, loss of daylight/sunlight or loss of privacy.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered acceptable in terms of design and neighbouring amenity and complies with relevant planning policies.

All other relevant policies and considerations, including equalities, have been taken into account. Planning permission should be granted for the reasons set out above. The details of the decision are set out in the RECOMMENDATION.

CIL APPLICABLE

The increase in internal floor area would not exceed 100 sq.m. and therefore the proposal is not liable for the Mayoral or Haringey's CIL charge.

RECOMMENDATION

GRANT PERMISSION with conditions

Conditions:

- 1 The development to which this permission relates must be begun no later than three years from the date of this decision notice, failing which the permission shall be of no effect.

Reason: This condition is imposed by virtue of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to prevent the accumulation of unimplemented planning permissions.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the approved plans and drawings listed in this decision notice, other than where those details are altered pursuant to the conditions of this planning permission.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

Informatives:

INFORMATIVE: Land Ownership

The applicant is advised that this planning permission does not convey the right to enter onto or build on land not within his ownership.

INFORMATIVE: Hours of Construction Work

The applicant is advised that under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, construction work which will be audible at the site boundary will be restricted to the following hours:-

8.00am - 6.00pm Monday to Friday

8.00am - 1.00pm Saturday

and not at all on Sundays and Bank Holidays.

INFORMATIVE: Party Wall Act

The applicant's attention is drawn to the Party Wall Act 1996 which sets out requirements for notice to be given to relevant adjoining owners of intended works on a shared wall, on a boundary or if excavations are to be carried out near a neighbouring building.