

James Argles

From: Planning Support
Subject: FW: NMA - 1 Station Square, N17 - HGY/2023/1666
Importance: High

From: Suzanne Kimman
Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 11:26 AM
To: Martin Cowie
Subject: RE: NMA - 1 Station Square, N17 - HGY/2023/1666

Hi Martin,

Thanks for the helpful context. This seems fine to recommend for approval.

Kind regards,
Suzanne

Suzanne Kimman (she/her)
Climate Change Manager

Carbon Management Team, Placemaking and Housing, Haringey Council

NB: I work 4 days a week – my typical non-working day is Tuesday.

You can visit our [Going Green pages](#) to read Haringey's Climate Change Action Plan to get to a net zero carbon borough by 2041.

From: Martin Cowie
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2023 12:45 PM
To: Suzanne Kimman
Subject: NMA - 1 Station Square, N17 - HGY/2023/1666
Importance: High

Hi both,

Any chance you could cast your eye over this NMA:

S.96a application for a Non-Material Amendment to remove parts a - d of Condition 15 (Combined Heat and Power (CHP) facility and associated infrastructure) attached to planning permission Ref: HGY/2016/3932 (as amended) approved on 10 August 2017 in respect to the development at Millstream Tower (formerly One Station Square), N17.

Whilst I had consulted you, I don't think it essential you consider in any detail – I suppose I wanted you to be aware of what was happening should you come across anything in the future.

Essentially, we are just regularising some planning history with this one. The original scheme proposed the provision of a CHP. Following discussions with Tim et. al it was agreed to remove the CHP. A subsequent NMA removed the CHP element of condition 15 (part f) and deleted a separate CHP condition – 16. Part e of condition 15 had already been discharged via a separate submission but that left parts a – d (which strictly related to the energy centre.

I attach the submission documents (first 3 attachments). I also attach the covering letter in relation to the previous NMA (4th attachment), approved by way of background and the original planning decision notice (5th attachment). It should be noted that the s106 was varied to reflect the change removing CHP (see last attachment).

Hope this make s a little sense but give me a buzz if necessary.

Martin