

The three illustrations below are (a) an extract from the application drawing, indicating that 31a and 31 are the applications (**when in fact only No. 31a – I own no. 31**), and the dark grey building lines clearly do not fit the factual size of the actual house No 31. B) The title plan of the development site depicting my right of way that now has the proposed living dining room rear section. C) The title plan of No 31, indicating my right of way, that is over 31a land.

It can also be clearly seen on these extracts that the application site goes over the boundary line and into No31, my land. The boundary at the northern end of the site rear part, clearly runs at an offset angle whereby boiler house is situated, whereas on the application plan there is no boiler house, and it is strait and then the angle line is plotted in my land tapering off into the boundary. If this offset angled boundary line were plotted indicating accurate measurements of the scheme plan it would roughly touch the closest corner of the rear projection and loose much of the (really small) proposed garden. These are material considerations to the scheme.

In addition, I have a right of way over the land (the blue line, drawing B), meaning that it isn't possible to build the scheme.

