



Officer Report

Application Number:	HGY/2023/1942
Application Type:	Householder planning permission
Address:	29 Muswell Hill, Hornsey, London, N10 3PR
Proposal:	Single storey rear extension.
Case Officer:	Ben Coffie
Valid Date:	19/07/2023
Applicant:	Mr SIMON BATTERSBY
Agent:	Mr Fatlum Azemi

RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Conditions

1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND LOCATION DETAILS

Proposed development

1.1 The application proposes the erection of a single storey side infill extension which would measure 2.7m in depth, 2.1m in width and 3.0m in height. Its proposed materials would match the existing materials of the host property.

Site and Surroundings

1.2 The application site is a two storey property within a short terrace of similar two storey houses located on the south side of Muswell Hill. The site is located in the Rookfield conservation area, however the site is not listed.

Relevant Planning and Enforcement history

- No relevant planning history

2. Consultation response

N/A

3. LOCAL REPRESENTATIONS

3.1 The application has been publicised letters. The number of representations received from neighbours, local groups, etc in response to notification and publicity of the application were as follows:

No of individual responses: 0

Objecting: 0

Supporting: 0

4. MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 The main planning considerations raised by the proposed development are:

1. Design and character and appearance of the conservation area; and
2. Impact on neighbouring amenity.

Design and character and appearance of the conservation area

4.2 DPD Policy DM12 'Housing Design and Quality' states that extensions or alterations to residential buildings, including roof extensions will be required to be of a high, site specific, and sensitive design quality, and respect and/ or complement the form, setting, period, architectural characteristics, detailing of the original building, including external features such as chimneys, and porches. High quality matching or complementary materials should be used appropriately and sensitively in relation to the context. DPD Policy DM1 'Delivering High Quality Design' requires development proposals to relate positively to their locality, while Local Plan (2017) Policy SP11 requires the highest standard of design that respects local context and character and historic significance, which is equally supported by London Plan (2021) Policy D6.

4.3 London Plan Policy HC1 seeks to ensure that development proposals affecting heritage assets and their settings, should conserve their significance. This policy applies to designated and non-designated heritage assets. Local Plan Policy SP12 and DPD Policy DM9 set out the Council's approach to the management, conservation and enhancement of the Borough's historic environment.

4.4 DPD Policy DM9 states that proposals affecting a designated or non-designated heritage asset will be assessed against the significance of the asset and its setting, and the impact of the proposals on that significance; setting out a range of issues which will be taken into account. In relation to extensions or alterations to residential buildings, including roof extensions, Policy DM9 requires proposals to be of a high, site specific, and sensitive design quality, which respect and/ or complement the form, setting, period, architectural characteristics, detailing of the original buildings, including external features such as chimneys, and porches. The policy also requires the use of high-quality matching or complementary materials, in order to be sensitive to context.

4.5 The proposed side infill extension is considered acceptable in scale, height and bulk. It would proportionately fit in within the side gap of the property. Due to its location at the rear of the site, it would not have any detrimental impact against the front streetscene.

4.6 Consequently, the proposed infill extension would not involve any loss of historic fabric and would not have any impact on the significance of the Conservation Area.

Impact on neighbouring amenity

4.7 London Plan Policy D6 outlines that design must not be detrimental to the amenity of surrounding housing, in specific stating that proposals should provide sufficient daylight and sunlight to surrounding housing that is appropriate for its context, while also minimising overshadowing. London Plan Policy D14 requires development proposals to reduce, manage and mitigate noise impacts.

4.8 DPD Policy DM1 'Delivering High Quality Design' states that development proposals must ensure a high standard of privacy and amenity for a development's users and neighbours. Specifically, proposals are required to provide appropriate sunlight, daylight and aspects to adjacent buildings and land, and to provide an appropriate amount of privacy to neighbouring properties to avoid overlooking and loss of privacy and detriment to amenity of neighbouring resident.

4.9 The proposed side extension would be shielded by existing rear outrigger, therefore is solely likely to impact against no. 27. Generally the scale of the extension is moderate and not anticipated to have adverse impacts against neighbouring properties, moreover no.27 possesses a rear extension which would offset any potential adverse harm from the proposed extension.

4.10 Consequently, the proposed development would not cause any adverse impacts against the amenity of neighbouring sites with respect to loss of daylight/sunlight, loss of outlook and a heightened sense of enclosure.

4.16 As such, due to the above considerations the proposed development would not inflict any adverse impact against the amenity currently enjoyed by neighbouring properties and is in compliance with policy D6 of the London Plan and policy DM1 of the DPD.

Conclusion

4.15 The proposal is considered acceptable in terms of its impact upon the character and appearance of the host property and surrounding area.

4.16 All other relevant policies and considerations, including equalities, have been taken into account. Planning permission should be granted for the reasons set out above. The details of the decision are set out in the RECOMMENDATION.

Conditions:

- 1 The development to which this permission relates must be begun no later than three years from the date of this decision notice, failing which the permission shall be of no effect.

Reason: This condition is imposed by virtue of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to prevent the accumulation of unimplemented planning permissions.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in complete accordance with the approved plans and drawings listed in this decision notice, other than where those details are altered pursuant to the conditions of this planning permission.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

- 3 The external materials to be used for the proposed development shall match in colour, size, shape and texture those of the existing building.

Reason: In order to ensure a satisfactory appearance for the proposed development, to safeguard the visual amenity of neighbouring properties and the appearance of the locality consistent with Policy D3 of the London Plan 2021, Policy SP11 of the Haringey Local Plan 2017 and Policy DM1 of The Development Management DPD 2017.

Informatives:

INFORMATIVE: Hours of Construction Work

The applicant is advised that under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, construction work which will be audible at the site boundary will be restricted to the following hours:-

8.00am - 6.00pm Monday to Friday

8.00am - 1.00pm Saturday

and not at all on Sundays and Bank Holidays.

INFORMATIVE: Party Wall Act

The applicant's attention is drawn to the Party Wall Act 1996 which sets out requirements for notice to be given to relevant adjoining owners of intended works on a shared wall, on a boundary or if excavations are to be carried out near a neighbouring building.

INFORMATIVE: Land Ownership

The applicant is advised that this planning permission does not convey the right to enter onto or build on land not within his ownership.