

From: Alex Khosrowpour
Sent: Wednesday, 05 August 2026 09:44:08
To: Mark1 Chan
Cc: Planning Support
Subject: Re: Publication of a comment for case HGY/2026/1679

Dear Mr Chan,

Re: Planning case HGY/2026/1679 - 24 Onslow Gardens N10 3JU

My apologies for troubling you further but with regards to our neighbours planning rear extension I would like to point out that it seems that the houses along Onslow Gardens have already been extended from the original rear. Please see the photos attached from the documents we dug out for our original house dated 1889. Any further rear extension would remove the uniformity of all the adjoining houses and cause a major alteration of the established development pattern which we outlined in our objection. We have no objection to the rear infill side extension or the other works they have suggested but we only object to the rear wall extension.

In our opinion it is relevant and wished to bring this to your attention. Thank you again for considering our objections.


Thank you very much.

Kind regards

Alex & Yuan Yuan

22 Onslow Gardens


D. C. Thomas Esq.



Opslow Gardens

due proportion with the other persons for the hire being
AND ALL easements and appurtenances
or tenement and premises appertaining (e
free running of water and soil from the a

D.C. Thomas Esq



Onslow Gardens

ty ni f Se e t no hundred
for ye of nine shillings by equal
quay pay to be made on the Twenty
ent to be paid free of the
present and future taxes r
parochial or wise (th
himself hi cutors a
s THAT see his ex
ei yearly rent
ate main drains