# Objection to Planning Application

**Planning Application Reference: HGY/2026/2152**

**Site Address:** Flat 2 ,62 Coniston Road,
London N10 2BN

**Objector Details:** Tanya Savova,
Flat C, 60 Coniston Road,
London N10 2BN

I am writing to formally object to the amended proposal for the erection of a rear garden outbuilding at the above address.
This is my second objection to this proposal, and I will try to avoid repetition from the first one, but I still need to mention the key points to be taken into consideration. Although amendments have been made, the revised proposal fails to address the severe impact it will have on my property and quality of life. I urge the council to refuse this application on the following planning grounds:

**1. Loss of Natural Light and Privacy**

The primary issue with the proposed outbuilding is that it will directly overlook my private living spaces and remaining garden area. I am—and will be—forced to keep my curtains permanently drawn during the day just to maintain basic privacy.
The layout actively deprives me of the quiet enjoyment of my home and forces me to live in darkness, which directly breaches local planning policies regarding neighbour amenity and acceptable living standards.

**2. Overbearing Impact and Sense of Enclosure (Claustrophobia)**

The applicant’s kitchen extension is situated less than 2 metres away from my windows. It already creates a highly oppressive space, an overbearing "tunnel" effect. Additional building in their garden increases the sense of enclosure and a claustrophobic outlook, unacceptable and completely out of character with the open nature of surrounding residential gardens.

**3. Cumulative Overdevelopment and Loss of Green Infrastructure**

The applicant has already significantly extended the original property- an additional extension functioning as a kitchen a few years ago and the new flat joining the existing one to convert them into a maisonette. This further proposal represents a clear overdevelopment of the plot. It will result in the near-total loss of natural garden greenery and permeable ground, replacing it with an excessive expanse of built form. This conflicts with local policies aimed at preserving urban green spaces, biodiversity, and natural drainage.

**4. Exacerbation of Existing Noise, Odour, and Amenity Nuisance**

My property already suffers from significant noise and cooking odours due to the proximity of the applicant's kitchen. These force me to keep my windows closed even during the summer months. Intensifying the use of the garden with an outbuilding (no guarantee about the primary use of it-people get permission for functioning for one purpose and start using it for another one), will inevitably amplify the noise, activity, and localized disturbance directly at my property.

As a senior resident, having a peaceful, private, and quiet environment is essential for my health, well-being, and quality of life. The revised proposal will still have a negative impact on my quality of life.

**Conclusion**
The minor amendments made to this application do not mitigate the severe harm it causes to my day-to-day living conditions. The proposal represents an overbearing, unneighbourly overdevelopment that directly breaches local planning guidelines regarding privacy, outlook, and daylight.
I respectfully request that the Planning Committee or Officer **refuse planning permission** for this application.
Please consider my first objection as well regarding this proposal. It is still valid for me because the building is still under a planning proposal despite its modification.
**Signed:**
Tanya Savova
**Date:** 6 November 2026

---