
We write to completely support the objections below. In addition we would add the following objections:

1 Wellfield Avenue already have a drive running the length of the side of the house which can accommodate 2/3 cars. This driveway leads to the garage and into the back garden. I do not see why the front garden has to be dismantled in order to accommodate more vehicles. This is in a residential Conservation area and goes against Haringey Council's stated commitment to the environment.

The back garden is at the end of our back garden. Therefore any buildings in their garden will seriously cause detrimental impact on us. We have lived in peace and quiet at 5 Dukes Avenue for 50 years. What exactly would be the purpose of this building. We do believe these applications are to change the residential house to a business.

We repeat this is a residential area in a Conservation area.

We want to attend the Planning Meeting when this is heard Please advise s of the time and place.

Thank you

D S Butterfield Laura Butterfield



Thank you for your comments on:

Case Ref: HGY/2024/0860

Address: 1 Wellfield Avenue, Hornsey, London, N10 2EA

Proposal Summary: Erection of a garden studio room in the rear garden.

Your Comments

Stance - Representation

We have received notification from Haringey in the last three days for three different planning applications [HGY/2024/0841 . HGY/2024/ 0857 . HGY/2024/0860]. We have received these notifications as neighbours : the corner of our back garden butts on to that of 1 Wellfield]. The point we want to make most strongly is that these three applications should actually be viewed as a single group because they are all for the same address [1 Wellfield Avenue N10 2EA], from the same applicant [Mr and Mrs A. White] using the same agent, at the same time. And we believe that the combined impact of the three applications is greater than the sum of the parts. Taken together it would appear that there will be a change of use with a business operating from 1 Wellfield, a business that involves significant numbers of clients arriving for use of the garden studio room and that may well be the reason why one of the applications is for an extension to the existing off street parking. This part of Muswell Hill already has excessive traffic and concreting over even more front gardens is another small assault on the environment. If the address was to continue as a single family home as suggested it would not need car parking for at least three cars in addition to the existing garage. So specifically we oppose HGY/2024/0857 because it is environmentally damaging and with the implied change of use will worsen traffic problems in the immediate vicinity. With regard to HGY/2024/0860 the garden studio room we are concerned that it represents a change of use involving quite significant impact for residents. With regard to HGY/2024/0841 we would have no objection if it was stand alone. However, it seems possible that it could be used in a similar manner to the garden studio, thereby making the change of use even more impactful. At the least, we ask that Haringey planning investigates how both of these spaces are to be used to gauge the overall impact on this part of Muswell Hill. We ask that this response is posted to each of the three applications.