

Argles James

From: Planning Support
Subject: FW: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : HGY/2021/2727
Site Address: Cranwood 100 Woodside Avenue London N10 3JA
Comments by: E. Guariglia
From:
80
Cranley Gardens

Submission: Objection

Comments: It is regrettable that all the time spent with the preliminary consultations at the end of 2020 was totally wasted, as it seems that no account whatsoever has been made of the comments received then. I am pleased that the vast majority of objections received so far on this totally out-of-character over-development repeat the same themes raised last year. I just hope that somebody will eventually listen and scale down the project, otherwise the whole point of the consultation would be ignored, which would raise serious issues on our democratic society.

Some of themes (which represent my objection) are quite obviously the following:

- the proposed buildings are too high and bulky for the area and for that corner and they would totally overshadow the nearby houses
- the lack of parking spaces would have a major, detrimental knock-on effect on the few adjoining roads that are still CPZ-free. The argument that car usage will be discouraged as a result is an obvious fallacy as of course nobody will prevent the new occupiers from owning cars and parking them in the nearby roads, and they will do so
- close proximity to St James Primary School raises safety issues
- great to see new trees being listed but sadly no mention of the amount of trees that will be cut down as a result of the development