

From: Daliah Barrett
Sent: 03 July 2024 15:39
To: Samuel Uff
Subject: FW: THFC Extra Events

Hi Samuel,

In Licensing we would consider the cumulative impact of having x number of events and all that comes with it and the frequency of the events. Licensing has the four objectives that need to be considered;

The prevention of public nuisance.

Prevention of public nuisance

Public safety

The protection of children from harm.

There is a disadvantage already in place from the initial PP, as complaints relating to events at Spurs all go to Spurs to handle. As a consequence of this the ability to build a picture of issues that have impacted residents has been gathered from the off compliant that may reach the Council or whilst officers attend BCLG and hear from residents in attendance. That notwithstanding the Councils Enforcement Team have faced significant challenges in dealing with illegal activities that arise from the existing events at the stadium.

A football crowd behaves differently to a concert crowd, and this can be further broken down dependant on the artist and genre of music that is coming to the stadium and the impacts that will be felt in the locality. The residents are accepting of the fact that the football happens in the area. But this is an additional 30 plus concert days they will potentially have to contend with.

The Council needs to have safeguards in place, that help to protect the environs for the residents who have to live, work, shop etc on these event days. The disruption from increased crowds on the street, traffic diversions, buses curtailing at various different points etc.

Additional ASB:

This takes many forms, ranging from crowd behaviour to noise not necessarily from amplified music, ASB, urination, drunkenness, Illegal street trading, Aggressive touts, general disruption which can affect the safety to and from the event. We also experience premises breaching licensing conditions in order to capitalise on the increased footfall on their doorstep, Illegal parking etc. Crowds on the street inhibit a resident's ability to go about their normal use of the highway. THS should meet the cost of the resources to cover measures to address public safety and anti-social behaviour such as additional public toilets, public safety reviews, street trading and to tackle pirate parking. These matters arise solely due to the events taking place at the stadium and will increase significantly should this permission be granted.

The Regulatory Services play an important role in mitigating for the increased anti-social behaviour

Even with noise the Code of Practice from the CIEH acknowledges the impact that 'Cumulative Event Days' may have and guides us that if an event was to exceed three days in any year then the maximum noise level recommended by the Code of Practice is reduced. I know from experience at Finsbury park that residents' tolerance reduces the more an event continues.

There should be consideration as to how simultaneous events will be dealt with. If THS gain such a huge increase, there is every likelihood that there will be a clash with what is a very

finite window of 'summer festival season' taking place at Emirates or at Finsbury Park. I am surprised that TF have not raised any concerns around the potential for this and whether they are able to cope adequately should such a scenario arise.

The potential costs that will be incurred as a direct result of the proposed increase in major non-sporting events per annum should require the following agreement from THS:

Contribution of £4000 per additional major non-sporting event - This would be spent on measures by the Council which may include:

- Licensing enforcement-
- illegal street trading
- obstruction of the footpath to ensure crowd flow & safety

Hope the above is helpful.

There is a wider response that will be submitted by Maurice.

Regards
Daliah